

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,250	10/12/2001	Takuhito Ueno	110863	8843	
	25944 7590 02/05/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			RUDOLPH, VINCENT M		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850 ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER		
			2625		
	•				
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		I A I' M	I A			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/975,250	UENO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vincent M. Rudolph	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNAMINS OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 September 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 16-25 is/are pending in the application	n.	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are rejected.	•				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2005 is/a		ted to by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		am Na			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority					
	application from the International Bureau	· ·	ed in this National Stage			
* 6	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	,	ed.			
	•	•				
Attachmen	• •	. <u>_</u>				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

09/975,250 Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 18-21, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki ('581) in view of Itoh ('995).

Regarding claim 16, Miyazaki ('581) discloses an image forming apparatus (See Figure 18, Element 1000b) having a power save mode and a normal mode (energy saving or low power consumption mode and a normal operation mode, See Col. 6, Line 22-25) that includes a receiver (I/F unit, See Figure 18, Element 1113) configured to receive data from an external device located outside the image forming apparatus (received from the main unit separate from the printer, See Figure 18; Col. 9, Line 22-24), an image forming portion (print buffer, See Figure 18, Line 1116) configured to output an image corresponding to the received data (stores the received data prior to transferring it to print head, See Col. 9, Line 25-28), a controller configured to be in the off-state in the power save mode (power-off in the energy saving mode, See Col. 9, Line 47-49) and to control the control the image forming portion to output the received data after a period of transition from the power save mode to the normal mode (while returning from the energy saving mode to the normal operation mode, See Col. 9, Line

09/975,250 Art Unit: 2625

46-55, a period of transition is needed to supply power back to the printer, See Col. 10, Line 5-6).

Miyazaki ('581) does not disclose a communication interface that includes a receiver and is configured to control a speed for receiving the data during the period of transition.

Itoh ('995) discloses a communication interface (See Figure 1, Element 36) that includes a receiver (buffer, See Figure 2, Element 36a) and is configured to control a speed for receiving the data during the transition period (the data is received either at the normal speed or at a lower speed depending on the capacity of the storage during the operation period of either transmitting or receiving data, See Col. 6, Line 9-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include controlling a speed for receiving data, such as the one disclosed within Ito ('995), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it prevents the printer from receiving more data than it is able to store and overload the memory and cause an error as a result.

Regarding claim 18, Miyazaki ('581) does not disclose that the communication interface is configured to control the speed for receiving the data based on a predictive time length of the period set in the communication interface.

Itoh ('995) discloses that the data received is controlled based on the setting of the timer (See Col. 5, Line 19-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include a time length for controlling the speed of receiving

09/975,250 Art Unit: 2625

data, such as the one disclosed within Itoh ('995), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it allows a printer to still receive data while completing another task for a designated amount of time without the need to temporarily stop the incoming data.

Regarding claim 19, Miyazaki ('581) discloses a storing portion configured to store the received data (See Col. 9, Line 22-24).

Miyazaki ('581) does not disclose that the communication interface is configured to control the speed for receiving data based on a residual capacity of the storing portion.

Itoh ('995) discloses that the data received is controlled based on a predetermined capacity (if the memory falls below a predetermined level, the speed for receiving data is reduced, See Col. 5, Line 26-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include controlling the speed for receiving the data based on the capacity of the memory, such as the one disclosed within Itoh ('995), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it allows a printer to still receive data while completing another task without the need to temporarily suspend the incoming data.

Regarding claim 20, Miyazaki ('581) does not disclose controlling the speed for receiving the data based on information indicating a maximum data payload to be received from the external device.

Itoh ('995) discloses controlling the speed for receiving the maximum data payload based on the data sent from the external device (depending on the amount of

09/975,250 Art Unit: 2625

data sent from the computer, if it overloads the maximum capacity of the memory, the speed to receive the data is reduced, See Col. 5, Line 65-Col. 6, Line 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include controlling the speed for receiving the maximum data payload based on the amount being sent, such as the one disclosed within Itoh ('995), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it allows a printer to still receive data from the computer without the need to temporarily suspend the incoming data or resending the data completely because of an error from overloading.

Regarding claim 21, Miyazaki ('581) does not disclose controlling the speed for receiving the data based on a reply rate of an ACK and NAK response to the external device.

Itoh ('995) discloses controlling the data based on a reply rate of an ACK (data is successfully being transferred from the computer) and NAK (error) response (the data being transferred is either accepted or denied from the computer, depending on the capacity of the memory, which reduces the receiving speed of the data if fallen below a predetermined level, See Col. 5, Line 65-Col. 6, Line 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include controlling the speed for receiving the data based on the reply rate response, such as the one disclosed within Itoh ('995), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it allows a printer to still receive data from the computer without the need to temporarily suspend the incoming data or resending the data completely because of an error from overloading.

09/975,250 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 23, Miyazaki ('581) discloses that the image forming device is coupled to the external device through a serial bus (interface signal line, See Figure 18, Element 1111; Col. 9, Line 31-33), and the communication interface is configured to decide a mode shift by detecting change of an input control signal of a parallel interface, the mode shift including a shift from or to the normal mode (a command to shift the printer to normal mode and be ready to print if it is not in the energy saving mode, See Col. 10, Line 10-17).

Regarding claim 25, Miyazaki ('561) discloses that the image forming device is coupled to the external device through a serial bus (interface signal line, See Figure 18, Element 1111; Col. 9, Line 31-33).

Miyazaki ('561) does not disclose that the communication interface is configured to decide the speed for receiving the data based on a rate of notices informing that reception is normally completed as well as not normally completed, in replying to a receiving response to the external device.

Itoh ('995) discloses the speed for receiving data is based on notices that the reception of data is normally completed as well as not normally completed (whether the data being transferred is either accepted or denied, the computer notices since the transfer of data continues or abruptly stops, depending on the capacity of the memory, which reduces the receiving speed of the data if fallen below a predetermined level, See Col. 5, Line 65-Col. 6, Line 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include controlling the speed for receiving the data based

09/975,250 Art Unit: 2625

on the notices, such as the one disclosed within Itoh ('995), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it allows the computer to know whether the data is either cancelled, or transmitting at a slower rate, and allows the user to make the appropriate modifications if necessary.

Claims 17, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki ('581) in view of Itoh ('995) as applied to claim 16, and further in view of Kawase ('130).

Regarding claim 17, Miyazaki ('581) does not disclose having the controller configured to set information in the communication interface for controlling the speed for receiving the data as well as having the communication interface configured to control the speed for receiving the data based on the information set by the controller.

Itoh ('995) discloses a controller (CPU, See Figure 1, Element 31) that sets information in the interface for controlling the speed for receiving the data (See Col. 5, Line 26-27), and, as a result, the communication interface is configured to control the speed for receiving the data based on the information set (See Col. 5, Line 15-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to including setting information for controlling a speed for receiving data, such as the one disclosed within Ito ('995), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it allows to set the printer for receiving a certain amount of data and well as specify the speed for receiving the data in order to prevent overloading of the memory.

Art Unit: 2625

The combination of Miyazaki ('581) and Itoh ('995) fail to disclose setting the information before going into an off-state.

Kawase ('130) discloses setting information prior to going into an off-state (setting the power-save mode, See Col. 13, Line 35-45, before going into an off-state of the power saving mode, See Col. 14, Line 15-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include setting the information before going into an offstate, such as the one disclosed within Kawase ('130), and incorporate it into the controller of Itoh ('995) used within Miyazaki ('581) because it allows different speeds (modes) to be set before powering down so that optimum power conservation as well as data communication speed is able to occur.

Regarding claim 22, Miyazaki ('581) discloses that the image forming device is coupled to the external device through a serial bus (interface signal line, See Figure 18, Element 1111; Col. 9, Line 31-33).

Miyazaki ('581) does not disclose that the communication interface is configured to decide whether or not the data including a packet is directed to the image forming apparatus, by referring to an address area in the packet, and to respond to the data being addressed to the image forming apparatus whenever the information is transmitted from the external device.

Kawase (130) discloses transmitting data that includes packets to an image forming apparatus (See Figure 1, Element 121; Col. 11, Line 18-30).

09/975,250 Art Unit: 2625

The combination does not *expressly* disclose an address area in the packet is referred to in order to determine that the image forming apparatus is in fact the destination.

However, **official notice** is taken that at the time of the invention, it was known that there was a header section within a packet that references an address of a network destination where the packet was intended to be transmitted.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include packets whenever transmitting data, such as the one disclosed within Kawase ('130), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it is able to provide data using a fast communication for multiple devices so that the devices know the location of the data being sent as well as be able to respond to commands over the network.

Regarding claim 24, Miyazaki ('581) discloses that the image forming device is coupled to the external device through a serial bus (interface signal line, See Figure 18, Element 1111; Col. 9, Line 31-33).

Miyazaki ('581) does not disclose that the communication interface is configured to decide the speed for receiving the data based on a setting of a data payload in a packet from the external device.

Itoh ('995) discloses controlling the speed for receiving the maximum data payload based on the data sent from the external device (depending on the amount of data sent from the computer, if it overloads the maximum capacity of the memory, the speed to receive the data is reduced, See Col. 5, Line 65-Col. 6, Line 13).

Art Unit: 2625

Kawase ('130) discloses transmitting data that includes packets to an image forming apparatus (See Figure 1, Element 121; Col. 11, Line 18-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to include controlling the speed for receiving the maximum data payload that includes packets based on the amount being whenever transmitting data, such as the one disclosed within Kawase ('130) and Itoh ('995), and incorporate it into Miyazaki ('581) because it is able to provide data using a fast communication for multiple devices without the need to temporarily suspend the incoming data or resend the data completely because of an error from overloading.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, as disclosed within the Pre-Appeal Brief, filed 9/5/2007, with respect to the rejection of claims 16-25 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of Miyazaki and Itoh as disclosed within the rejection above.

Based on these facts as well as having the applicant's arguments disclosed within the Pre-Appeal Brief been fully considered and persuasive, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE NON-FINAL.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is: Simpson ('019).

09/975,250

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent M. Rudolph whose telephone number is (571) 272-8243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8 A.M. - 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Aung Moe can be reached on (571) 272-7314. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

3/1/08

MMR

Vincent M. Rudolph Examiner

Art Unit 2625

AUNG S. MOE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER